

# NEPAL RAUTAHAT

# NDPBA SUBNATIONAL PROFILE



©2023 Pacific Disaster Center

## DISTRICT PROFILE



Area: 1,126 km<sup>2</sup>



## **RISK AND VULNERABILITY** COMPONENT SCORE



MULTI-HAZARD RISK (MHR) -Very High Score: 0.643 • Rank: 6/77



RESILIENCE (R) - Low Score: 0.418 • Rank: 56/77



MULTI-HAZARD EXPOSURE (MHE) - Very High Score: 0.764 • Rank: 8/77



VULNERABILITY (V) - High Score:0.573 • Rank: 19/77



COPING CAPACITY (CC) -Moderate Score: 0.409 • Rank: 40/77



Population (2021 Statistical Yearbook) 825,623



Infant Mortality Rate (per 1,000 live births) 80.9



Population below Poverty Line 33.4%



Population with Safe Drinking Water 95.5%



Adult Literacy 33.9%



MHE 0.764

Raw MHE 0.756

RANK: 8 / 77 DISTRICTS SCORE: 0.764



Relative MHE 0.772

# **ESTIMATED EXPOSURE TO EACH HAZARD:**



Earthquake

**&** 702,473 \$1.18 Billion

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 100%



# Extreme Heat

**2** 702,459 \$1.18 Billion

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 100%



**Fluvial Flood** 43%

**&** 303,587 \$764.99 Million

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 65%



**1% a** 6,515

Landslide

## \$13.63 Million

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 1%



Wildfire **18%** 

123,227
\$193.5 Million
Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 16%

**Pluvial Flood** 

40%

**280,166** \$659.31 Million

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 56%

NOTE: Population exposure values for Nepal districts are estimated using PDC's AIM model. Values may differ from Census population estimates.



# VULNERABILITY (V)

## **RANK: 19 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.573**

Vulnerability in Rautahat is primarily driven by Information Access Vulnerability and Economic Constraints. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Vulnerability score.



## **Information Access Vulnerability**

0	0		SCORE: 1.000	RANK: 1/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED		
<b>33.9%</b> Adult Literacy	<b>2.19</b> Mean Years of Schooling	<b>73.2</b> Primary School Net Enrollment	<b>67.38</b> Student Teacher Ratio			



Access to Clean Water Vulnerability								
0		1	SCORE: 0.389	RANK: 45/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED				
<b>95.5%</b> Access to Safe Drinking Water	75.5% Households without Toilet	<b>26.5%</b> Water Schemes in Disrepair	<b>97.9</b> Typhoid Incidence (per 10,000)	<b>46.7</b> Cholera Incidence (per 100,000)				
Economi	Economic Constraints							
0		1	SCORE: 0.640	RANK: 18/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED				

\$757 Income per Capita (PPP \$)

33.4% Poverty Headcount Ratio

92.5 Poverty Gap Age Dependency Ratio

SCORE: 0.356



# **Food Insecurity**

24.0% Food Poverty Prevalence

23.6% Low kcal Intake Prevalence 63.6% Agricultural Insufficiency Rate

1

8.3%



# **Gender Inequality**

0.52 0.16 Female to Male Female to Male Literacy Ratio Secondary Enrollment Ratio (Distance from Parity) (Distance from Parity)

80.9% No Female Home nor Land Ownership

1


#### SCORE: 0.590 RANK: 14/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

RANK: 55/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED



RANK: 19 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.573

Vulnerability in Rautahat is primarily driven by Information Access Vulnerability and Economic Constraints. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Vulnerability score.



### DISTRICT PROFILE



# **COPING CAPACITY (CC)**

## **RANK: 40 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED** SCORE: 0.409

Rautahat exhibits weaker Coping Capacity in the areas of Communications Capacity and Energy Capacity. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Coping Capacity score.





# Governance

0.22 Average Annual Conflict (per 10,000)

7.25 6 Government and Management (Score out of 9)

5 Organization Administration (Score out of 8)

1

Budget and Plan Financial Management (Score out of 11) of 11)

9

SCORE: 0.567

8 Fiscal and Management (Score out

Service Flow (Score out of 16)

RANK: 23/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

6

of 7)

Judicial Work (Score out

5.25 Physical Infrastructure (Score out of 13)

5.5 3.5 Social Inclusion (Score out of 10)

0 Cooperation Environmental and Protection Coordination and Disaster (Score out Management of 6) (Score out



# **Environmental Capacity**

of 9)

SCORE: 0.000 RANK: 49/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

0.0% Protected Area

0

193 **PDC** Global



COPING CAPACITY (CC) RANK: 40 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.409

Rautahat exhibits weaker Coping Capacity in the areas of Communications Capacity and Energy Capacity. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Coping Capacity score.

(C <sup>n</sup>	Infrastructure Capacity     0   1   SCORE: 0.383   RANK: 58/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED										
		Communications Capacity      1   SCORE: 0.250   RANK: 67/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED									
		<b>1.5%</b> Households with Landline	<b>0.2%</b> Households with Internet	<b>22.8%</b> Households with Television	<b>37.1%</b> Households with Radio	<b>47.0%</b> Households with Mobile Phone					
		Logistics C	apacity	1	SCORE: 0.554 RANK: 43/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED						
		<b>11</b> Road Density (km per sq. km)	94.2% Improved Roadway	<b>25.9</b> Average Distance to Airport (km)	<b>51.6</b> Average Distance to Dry Port (km)	<b>25.9</b> Average Distance to Warehouse (km)	<b>14.4</b> Average Distance to Police Station (km)	<b>12.3</b> Average Distance to Hospital (km)	<b>0.48</b> Operational Hospital Beds (per 10,000)		
	Energy Capacity		1	SCORE: 0.34	RANK: 55 /77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED						
		<b>46.6%</b> Households with Electricity	<b>2.1%</b> Households using Gas for Cooking								



# **RESILIENCE (R)**

RANK: 56 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.418

Rautahat's score and ranking are due to High Vulnerability combined with Moderate Coping Capacity scores.

Below are the four thematic areas with the weakest relative scores:



Vulnerability

**Information Access** 



Constraints



Communications Capacity



**Energy Capacity** 

# **KEY FACTORS INFLUENCING RESILIENCE**



# Information Access Vulnerability

Limitations in information access can impede a population's ability to receive, understand, and take action. Emergency messages must take into account the unique information access constraints of the district. Emergency messages presented to the population must contain clear and simple information to ensure an appropriate response to save lives and reduce losses.



# **Economic Constraints**

Economic constraints have individual, household, community, and district-wide influence. Limitations on available financial resources reduce opportunities to invest in mitigation and preparedness measures and limit the ability to facilitate short- and long-term recovery.



# **Communications Capacity**

The density, diversity, resilience, and quality of communications infrastructure influence how subnational- and local-level populations are able to facilitate effective and coordinated communication.



# **Energy Capacity**

Homes, businesses, industry, and government all rely on access to energy resources for continuity of daily activities. Expanding, strengthening, and securing the energy network and increasing the availability and quantity of energy reserves will contribute to economic development and increase the speed of recovery processes in the aftermath of a disaster.

HAZARD-SPECIFIC RISK (HSR)							
-Mp-	Earthquake	RANK: 10 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.483					
	Extreme Heat	RANK: 2 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.466					
	Fluvial Flood	RANK: 1 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.517					
	Pluvial Flood	RANK: 3 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.432					
MÈ	Landslide	RANK: 66 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.041					
	Wildfire	RANK: 22 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.281					




# MULTI-HAZARD RISK (MHR)

6 / 77 RANK WITHIN DISTRICTS Score: 0.643

Rautahat's score and ranking are due to Very High Multi-hazard Exposure combined with High Vulnerability and Moderate Coping Capacity scores.

Multi-hazard risk component scores compared to overall average country scores:

DISTRICTS SCORECOUNTRY SCORE





Better solutions. Fewer disasters.

# Safer vorld.

1305 N Holopono Street Suite 2, Kihei, HI 96753 P: (808) 891-0525 F: (808) 891-0526



@PDC\_Global









ndpba.npl@pdc.org