

NEPAL BANKE

NDPBA SUBNATIONAL PROFILE



©2023 Pacific Disaster Center

DISTRICT PROFILE



Area: 2,337 km²



RISK AND VULNERABILITY COMPONENT SCORE



MULTI-HAZARD RISK (MHR) -Low Score: 0.485 • Rank: 57/77



RESILIENCE (R) - Very High Score: 0.593 • Rank: 14/77



MULTI-HAZARD EXPOSURE (MHE) - Moderate Score: 0.642 • Rank: 30/77



VULNERABILITY (V) - Low Score:0.416 • Rank: 50/77



68

COPING CAPACITY (CC) - Very High Score: 0.602 • Rank: 7/77



Population (2021 Statistical Yearbook) **603,393**



Infant Mortality Rate (per 1,000 live births) **48.5**



Population below Poverty Line 26.4%



Population with Safe Drinking Water 96.0%



Adult Literacy 56.3%

MHE 0.642



RANK: 30 / 77 DISTRICTS SCORE: 0.642



Raw MHE 0.768

Relative MHE 0.515

ESTIMATED EXPOSURE TO EACH HAZARD:



Earthquake 100%

\$ 534,976

\$1.12 Billion

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 100%



Extreme Heat 100%

533.780 \$1.12 Billion

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 100%



Fluvial Flood 11%

56,230 \$121.12 Million

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 11%



<1% **348**

\$10.43 Million

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 1%



Wildfire 33%

175,462 \$270.84 Million **Critical Infrastructure Exposed:** 24%

Pluvial Flood

31% **167,618**

\$317.39 Million

Critical Infrastructure Exposed: 28%

NOTE: Population exposure values for Nepal districts are estimated using PDC's AIM model. Values may differ from Census population estimates.



VULNERABILITY (V)

RANK: 50 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.416

RANK: 42/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

RANK: 61/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

Vulnerability in Banke is primarily driven by Information Access Vulnerability and Economic Constraints. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Vulnerability score.



@	Informati	ion Acce	ss Vuln	erability SCORE: 0.674	RANK: 19/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED	
	56.3% Adult Literacy	3.59 Mean Years of Schooling	86.7 Primary School Net Enrollment	30.14 Student Teacher Ratio		
	Access t	o Clean	Water V	Unerability SCORE: 0.380	RANK: 51/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED	



51.7% Households without Toilet

32.6% Water Schemes in Disrepair

160.4 28.2 Typhoid Cholera Incidence (per Incidence (per 10,000) 100,000)

Ω

Economic Constraints

\$1,133 Income per Capita (PPP \$)

26.4% Poverty Headcount Ratio

6.6%	74.5
Poverty Gap	Age Dependency Ratio

1

1

SCORE: 0.478

SCORE: 0.312



Food Insecurity

18.2% Food Poverty Prevalence

29.2% 54.1% Agricultural Low kcal Insufficiency Rate Prevalence



70

Gender Inequality

Intake

0.29 0.04 Female to Male Female to Male Literacy Ratio Secondary Enrollment Ratio (Distance from Parity) (Distance from Parity)

79.9% No Female Home nor Land Ownership

1

SCORE: 0.262 RANK: 57/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED



RANK: 50 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.416

Vulnerability in Banke is primarily driven by Information Access Vulnerability and Economic Constraints. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Vulnerability score.



DISTRICT PROFILE



COPING CAPACITY (CC)

RANK: 7 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.602

Banke exhibits weaker Coping Capacity in the areas of Communications Capacity and Energy Capacity. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Coping Capacity score.



Economic Capacity

SCORE: 0.526 RANK: 12/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

22,620 Gross Domestic Product (Million Rs.)

133,048 Labor Productivity (Rs.)



Governance

0.2 Average Annual Conflict (per 10,000)

7.56 4.38 Government and Management (Score out of 9)

Organization Administration (Score out of 8)

1

1

6.5 7.56 Budget Fiscal and and Plan Financial Management (Score out (Score out of 11) of 11)

SCORE: 0.656

9.88 Management of 16)

Service Flow (Score out of 7)

RANK: 6/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

5.31 Judicial Work (Score out

6.31 Physical Infrastructure (Score out of 13)

4.88 Social Inclusion (Score out of 10)

4.94 3.38 Environmental and Protection and Disaster Management of 6) (Score out

Cooperation Coordination (Score out



Environmental Capacity

of 9)

SCORE: 0.609 RANK: 19/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED

36.9% Protected Area



COPING CAPACITY (CC) RANK: 7 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.602

Banke exhibits weaker Coping Capacity in the areas of Communications Capacity and Energy Capacity. The bar charts indicate the socioeconomic themes contributing to the overall Coping Capacity score.

(C ⁿ	Infrastructure Capacity 0 1 SCORE: 0.597 RANK: 20/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED								
		Communications Capacity 1 SCORE: 0.464 RANK: 37/77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED						D	
		6.0% Households with Landline	1.6% Households with Internet	37.0% Households with Television	37.6% Households with Radio	60.3% Households with Mobile Phone			
	Logistics Capacity 0 1 SCORE: 0.688 RANK: 11/77 DISTRICTS ASSE				TS ASSESSE	D			
		10 Road Density (km per sq. km)	89.8% Improved Roadway	13.5 Average Distance to Airport (km)	212.7 Average Distance to Dry Port (km)	13.5 Average Distance to Warehouse (km)	6.5 Average Distance to Police Station (km)	8.9 Average Distance to Hospital (km)	2.49 Operational Hospital Beds (per 10,000)
	Energy Capacity 0 1 SCORE: 0.638 RANK: 20 /77 DISTRICTS ASS				TS ASSESSEI	ESSED			
		68.8% Households with Electricity	20.6% Households using Gas for Cooking						



RESILIENCE (R)

RANK: 14 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.593

Banke's score and ranking are due to Low Vulnerability combined with Very High Coping Capacity scores.

Below are the four thematic areas with the weakest relative scores:







Communications



Information Access Vulnerability

Economic Constraints

Capacity

Energy Capacity

KEY FACTORS INFLUENCING RESILIENCE



Information Access Vulnerability

Limitations in information access can impede a population's ability to receive, understand, and take action. Emergency messages must take into account the unique information access constraints of the district. Emergency messages presented to the population must contain clear and simple information to ensure an appropriate response to save lives and reduce losses.



Economic Constraints

Economic constraints have individual, household, community, and district-wide influence. Limitations on available financial resources reduce opportunities to invest in mitigation and preparedness measures and limit the ability to facilitate short- and long-term recovery.



Communications Capacity

The density, diversity, resilience, and quality of communications infrastructure influence how subnational- and local-level populations are able to facilitate effective and coordinated communication.



Energy Capacity

Homes, businesses, industry, and government all rely on access to energy resources for continuity of daily activities. Expanding, strengthening, and securing the energy network and increasing the availability and quantity of energy reserves will contribute to economic development and increase the speed of recovery processes in the aftermath of a disaster.

HAZARD-SPECIFIC RISK (HSR)					
-Mp-	Earthquake	RANK: 53 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.346			
	Extreme Heat	RANK: 17 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.337			
	Fluvial Flood	RANK: 30 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.184			
	Pluvial Flood	RANK: 21 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.242			
	Landslide	RANK: 72 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.024			
	Wildfire	RANK: 30 / 77 DISTRICTS ASSESSED SCORE: 0.227			



MULTI-HAZARD RISK (MHR)

57 / 77 RANK WITHIN DISTRICTS Score: 0.485

Banke's score and ranking are due to Moderate Multi-hazard Exposure combined with Low Vulnerability and Very High Coping Capacity scores.

Multi-hazard risk component scores compared to overall average country scores: Multi-Hazard Exposure 0.642 0.559 Vulnerability 0.416 0.463 Coping Capacity 0.602 0.436



Better solutions. Fewer disasters.

Safer vorld.

1305 N Holopono Street Suite 2, Kihei, HI 96753 P: (808) 891-0525 F: (808) 891-0526



@PDC_Global









ndpba.npl@pdc.org