
Region: Amazonas



Region Capital: Chachapoyas Region Area: 39,858 km²

Amazonas is one of twenty-five regions in Peru. Located in the northern interior of the country, Amazonas is bordered by Ecuador and known for its rugged Andean topography, cloud forests, and the well-known archeological ruins of Chachapoys' culture at Kuélap. Agricultural production, livestock and forestry are the predominate economic activities. Chachapoyas is the region's capital. As of 2015, the region's population was estimated at 422,629; with the highest percentage of its population residing in the provinces of Bagua, Chachapoyas and Utcubamba. Relative to the rest of Peru, the population of Amazonas has lower than average life expectancy (70.6 years), higher than average poverty (47.3%), higher than average illiteracy (9.5%), and lower than average access to improved water sources (79.1%).











Multi-Hazard Risk (MHR) 1

Score = 0.486, Rank = 13 of 25

Of the twenty-five regions of Peru, Amazonas ranks 13th in multi-hazard risk (MHR = 0.486). Table 1 outlines the individual components that contribute to risk. As shown in the bar chart of Figure 1, the region's moderate multi-hazard risk is a function of its moderate multi-hazard exposure (MHE = 0.427), moderate vulnerability (V = 0.419), and very low coping capacity (CC = 0.389). The ternary graph at right shows that Amazonas' exposure is somewhat lower than the national average, while vulnerability is similar and lack of coping capacity is higher.




Figure 1. Components of the Multi-Hazard Risk Score compared to the national average.

¹ Multi-Hazard Risk (MHR): An index that measures the likelihood of losses or disruptions to a region's normal function due to interaction between multi-hazard exposure, socioeconomic vulnerability and coping capacity. MHR = (MHE + V + (1-CC))/3. Values range from 0-1.

Components of Multi-Hazard Risk (MHR) ²

Table 1. Scores and ranks for each component of the Multi-Hazard Risk Score.

Multi-Hazard Exposure (MHE)		Vulr	nerability (V)	Coping Capacity (CC)		
Moderate		Me	oderate	Very Low		
Score	Rank (of 25)	Score	Rank (of 25)	Score	Rank (of 25)	
0.427	15	0.419	15	0.389	21	

Multi-Hazard Exposure (MHE)³

Score = 0.427, Rank = 15 of 25

Amazonas has moderate multi-hazard exposure relative to other regions of Peru (MHE = 0.427). This score is a function of both Raw and Relative MHE, as shown in Figure 2. The Raw MHE Score is an index reflecting the absolute value of population exposed to multiple hazards. This score can aid in understanding the overall scale of hazard exposure. The Relative MHE Score is an index reflecting the proportion of the region's base population exposed. This score can assist in the determination of how important hazards are, and can help prioritize disaster management activities across regions. Estimates of exposure by hazard type are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Estimated ambient population4 exposed to each hazard type.




Figure 2. Average, raw and relative Multi-Hazard Exposure Scores.

 $^{^{2}}$ MHR = (MHE + V + (1-CC))/3.

³ Multi Hazard Exposure (MHE): An index based on the estimated average exposure of the population to six hazard types: tsunamis, landslides, extreme temperature, earthquakes (MMI VII and above), floods and volcanos. Average exposure considers both raw average exposure and relative average exposure as a proportion of total population. Values range from 0-1.

⁴ **Ambient Population**: 24-hour average estimate of the population; typically differs from census population.

Vulnerability (V) 5

Score = 0.419, Rank = 15 of 25

Amazonas has moderate vulnerability relative to other Peruvian regions (V = 0.419). The bar chart on the right displays the composition of its overall Vulnerability Score. As shown, vulnerability in Amazonas is driven primarily by information access, clean water access, and vulnerable health status. The table below summarizes the individual indicators within each socioeconomic theme.




Figure 3. Components of the Vulnerability Score by relative contribution.

Table 3. Indicators of vulnerability grouped by theme.

	Environmental Stress	0.6 % of total Regional area with irrigation- fed agriculture	2.9 % of total Regional area with severe erosion				
**	Vulnerable Health Status	22.5 Infant mortality rate per 1k births	114.1 Maternal deaths per 100k births	70.6 Average life expectancy (years) at birth	27.1 % of children under 5 years of age that are malnourished	3.3 % of population with 1 or more disability	
(1)	Clean Water Vulnerability	79.1 % households with access to improved water	43.1 % households with access to flush toilets				
	Information Access Vulnerability	9.5 % of population 15yrs and older that are illiterate	7.9 Average years of schooling	88.8 % primary school enrollment	8.9 % households with internet	59.5 % households with television	73.4 % households with radio
(f.S)	Economic Constraints	0.58 Ratio of dependents to working age population (15-64 years)	46.17 Ratio of average monthly household expenses to income	47.3 % of population monetarily impoverished			
δα	Gender Inequality	0.47 Proportion of female representatives in local government	0.81 Ratio of female to male secondary enrollment	0.78 Ratio of female to male labor participation			

⁵ **Vulnerability (V)**: An index that measures the socioeconomic conditions associated with susceptibility to disruptions in a region's normal functions. Values range from 0-1.



Population Pressures **0.5** % Average annual population change (2010-2015)



Recent Disaster Impacts **74.5**Average annual hazard-related deaths per 10k persons (2010-2014)

2.2
Average
annual
number of
homes
destroyed
by recent
hazards per
10k
persons
(20102014)

Coping Capacity (CC) ⁶

Score = 0.389, Rank = 21 of 25

Amazonas has a very low coping capacity relative to other regions (CC = 0.389). The bar chart on the right displays the composition of its overall Coping Capacity Score. As shown, coping capacity in Amazonas is hindered primarily by its economic and environmental capacity. The table below summarizes the individual indicators within each socioeconomic theme.




Figure 4. Components of the Coping Capacity Score by relative contribution.

Table 4. Indicators of coping capacity grouped by theme.



Economic Capacity

\$858

Average monthly income (\$)

\$8,716Gross
domestic
product per
capita



Governance

1.76

Registered cases of sexual violence per 10k persons ND

Registered cases of missing persons per 10k persons 0.002

Average annual number of social conflicts per 10k persons (active and resolved) 6,218

of voters per 10k persons (2014 election)



Environmental Capacity

9.8

% protected or reforested land

⁶ **Coping Capacity (CC)**: An index that measures the systems, means and abilities of a region to absorb and respond to events that could potentially disrupt normal function. Values range from 0-1.



Infrastructure Capacity



Healthcare Capacity

15.4# of hospital beds per 10k persons

22.4# of nurses per 10k persons

5.9# of physicians per 10k persons



Communications Capacity

% households with fixed phone line

1.7

% households with mobile phone

76.6



Transportation Capacity

1.5 Port/airport density per 10,000 sq km **727.1**Road/rail
density per
10,000 sq
km

Resilience (R)⁷

Score = 0.485, Rank = 17 of 25

Resilience is a function of both vulnerability and coping capacity. Amazonas is less resilient than the national average, and its low Resilience Score (R = 0.485) is due to its moderate vulnerability and very low coping capacity. The region's baseline indicators suggest a focus for resilience-building efforts. In Amazonas, the thematic areas with the weakest relative scores are summarized in the table below. Readers can additionally consult Appendix 1 for a comprehensive assessment of its need for specific program types relative to other regions.

Table 5. The top 3 thematic areas with the weakest relative scores.





Communications Capacity



Transportation Capacity

⁷ **Resilience (R):** An index that offers a hazard-independent measure of current socio-economic conditions affecting the short-term ability to absorb, respond to, and recover from disruptions to a region's normal function. Values range from 0-1.