

Peru: Regional Profiles

National Disaster Preparedness Baseline Assessment

Regional Profiles: Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (RVA) Region: Lima




Figure 1. Components of the Multi-Hazard Risk Score compared to the national average.

for this component.

¹ **Multi-Hazard Risk (MHR)**: An index that measures the likelihood of losses or disruptions to a region's normal function due to interaction between multi-hazard exposure, socioeconomic vulnerability and coping capacity. **MHR** = (MHE + V + (1-CC))/3. Values range from 0-1.

Components of Multi-Hazard Risk (MHR)²

Multi-Hazard Exposure Coping Capacity Vulnerability (MHE) (CC) (V) High **Very Low** Very High Score Rank (of 25) Score Rank (of 25) Score Rank (of 25) 0.699 7 0.345 23 0.799 1

Table 1. Scores and ranks for each component of the Multi-Hazard Risk Score.

Multi-Hazard Exposure (MHE)³

Score = 0.699, Rank = 7 of 25

Lima has high multi-hazard exposure relative to other regions of Peru (MHE = 0.699). This score is a function of both Raw and Relative MHE, as shown in Figure 2. The Raw MHE Score is an index reflecting the absolute value of population exposed to multiple hazards. This score can aid in understanding the overall scale of hazard exposure. The Relative MHE Score is an index reflecting the proportion of the region's base population exposed. This score can assist in the determination of how important hazards are, and can help prioritize disaster management activities across regions. Estimates of exposure by hazard type are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Estimated ambient population⁴ exposed to each hazard type.




Figure 2. Average, raw and relative Multi-Hazard Exposure Scores.

 $^{^{2}}$ **MHR** = (MHE + V + (1-CC))/3.

³ **Multi Hazard Exposure (MHE)**: An index based on the estimated average exposure of the population to six hazard types: tsunamis, landslides, extreme temperature, earthquakes (MMI VII and above), floods and volcanos. Average exposure considers both raw average exposure and relative average exposure as a proportion of total population. Values range from 0-1.

⁴ Ambient Population: 24-hour average estimate of the population; typically differs from census population.

Vulnerability (V) ⁵

Score = 0.345, Rank = 23 of 25

Lima has very low vulnerability relative to other Peruvian regions (V = 0.345). The bar chart on the right displays the composition of its overall Vulnerability Score. As shown, vulnerability in Lima is driven primarily by population pressures, environmental stress, and gender inequality. The table below summarizes the individual indicators within each socioeconomic theme.




Figure 3. Components of the Vulnerability Score by relative contribution.

Table 3. Indicators of vulnerability grouped by theme.

Ø	Environmental Stress	10.9 % of total regional area with irrigation- fed agriculture	12.5 % of total regional area with severe erosion				
	Vulnerable Health Status	10.3 Infant mortality rate per 1k births	24.0 Maternal deaths per 100k births	77.2 Average life expectancy (years) at birth	5.1 % of children under 5 years of age that are malnourished	6.7 % of population with 1 or more disability	
0	Clean Water Vulnerability	93.0 % households with access to improved water	90.5 % households with access to flush toilets				
	Information Access Vulnerability	2.5 % of population 15yrs and older that are illiterate	11.0 Average years of schooling	72.7 % primary school enrollment	42.2 % households with internet	96.2 % households with television	79.7 % households with radio
	Economic Constraints	0.47 Ratio of dependents to working age population (15- 64 years)	49.31 Ratio of average monthly household expenses to income	13.1 % of population monetarily impoverished			
ça	Gender I nequality	0.51 Proportion of female representatives in local government	0.86 Ratio of female to male secondary enrollment	0.77 Ratio of female to male labor participation			

⁵ Vulnerability (V): An index that measures the socioeconomic conditions associated with susceptibility to disruptions in a region's normal functions. Values range from 0-1.

Population Pressures	1.5 % Average annual population change (2010- 2015)	
Recent Disaster Impacts	5.2 Average annual hazard-related deaths per 10k persons (2010- 2014)	0.1 Average annual number of homes destroyed by recent hazards per 10k persons (2010- 2014)

Coping Capacity (CC)⁶

Score = 0.799, Rank = 1 of 25

Lima has a very high coping capacity relative to other regions (CC = 0.799). The bar chart on the right displays the composition of its overall Coping Capacity Score. As shown, coping capacity in Lima is hindered primarily by its environmental capacity and governance. The table below summarizes the individual indicators within each socio-economic theme.




Figure 4. Components of the Coping Capacity Score by relative contribution.

Table 4. Indicators of	^c coping	capacity	grouped	by t	heme.	

\$\$	Economic Capacity	\$1,620 Average monthly income (\$)	\$24,022 Gross domestic product per capita			
	Governance	0.30 Registered cases of sexual violence per 10k persons	0.21 Registered cases of missing persons per 10k persons	0.001 Average annual number of social conflicts per 10k persons (active and resolved)	7,529 # of voters per 10k persons (2014 election)	
Real Providence	Environmental Capacity	5.8 % protected or reforested land				

⁶ Coping Capacity (CC): An index that measures the systems, means and abilities of a region to absorb and respond to events that could potentially disrupt normal function. Values range from 0-1.

(Cⁿ

Healthcare Capacity	18.8 # of hospital beds per 10k persons	29.8 # of nurses per 10k persons	36.6 # of physicians per 10k persons	
Communications Capacity	50.4 % households with fixed phone line	90.3 % households with mobile phone		
Transportation Capacity	10.1 Port/airport density per 10,000 sq km	2,216.4 Road/rail density per 10,000 sq km		

Resilience (R)⁷

Score = 0.727, Rank = 1 of 25

Infrastructure Capacity

Resilience is a function of both vulnerability and coping capacity. Lima's resilience is significantly higher than the national average, and its very high Resilience Score (R = 0.727) is due to its very low vulnerability and very high coping capacity. The region's baseline indicators suggest a focus for resilience-building efforts. In Lima, the thematic areas with the weakest relative scores are summarized in the table below. Readers can additionally consult Appendix 1 for a comprehensive assessment of its need for specific program types relative to other regions.

Table 5. The top 3 thematic areas with the weakest relative scores.



⁷ **Resilience (R):** An index that offers a hazard-independent measure of current socio-economic conditions affecting the short-term ability to absorb, respond to, and recover from disruptions to a region's normal function. Values range from 0-1.